

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/809,115 03/25/2004		03/25/2004	Michael A. Pouchak	H0005606-9952(1161.112610	6646	
128	7590	02/02/2006		EXAMINER		
HONEYW	ELL IN	TERNATIONA:	BOLES, DEREK			
101 COLUM	ibia RC	AD				
P O BOX 22	45			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245				3749		

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			e					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/809,115	POUCHAK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Derek S. Boles	3749						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the magnificant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this   ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	5 March 2004.							
-	his action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allo								
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-10,12,13,15,17-23 and 25</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6,7,11,14,16,24 and 26</u> is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.  drawn from consideration.  drawn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/ar  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ of the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received.  The sents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage					
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/05.</li> </ol>		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)					

Art Unit: 3749

#### **Detailed Action**

## Claim Objections

Claims 6, 7, 11, 14, 16, 24 and 26 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-4, 6-12, 15, 18-22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Pouchak (6,647,302). See col. 13, line 30 to col. 14, line 47. Regarding claim 4, see col. 13, line 41. Regarding claims 6, 7 and 11, see 300. Regarding claim 25, see col. 14, lines 21-34.

'Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shprecher et al. (5,042,431). See col. 4, line 53 to col. 5, line 2.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,115 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

Claim(s) 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pouchak '302 in view of Pouchak (6,536,678). Pouchak '302 discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for equalizing the time in which the stages are active. Pouchak '678 discloses the presence of equalizing the time in which the stages are active. See claim 17. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Pouchak '302 to include the equalizing the time in which the stages are active of Pouchak '678 for the purpose of energy conservation.

Regarding claims 13, 14, and 23, Pouchak '302 discloses all of the limitations of the claim except for disabling a sub-method to activate/deactivate stages for various time periods.

However, since the applicant has failed to establish any criticality or synergistic results which are derived from the recited configurations, these limitations are considered a matter of obvious design choice. Thus, the applicant's design configurations would have been an obvious improvement to one of ordinary skill in the art with regard to the apparatus disclosed in Pouchak '302.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.S.B.

DEREES. BOLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

1/11/06